TABLE OF CONTENTS

(COVERLETTER	1
•	TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
į	SUPPLEMENTAL DATA SHEET, FORM 3427	3
(GENERAL DEVICE CLASSIFICATION QUESTIONNAIRE, FORM 3429	5
1	INTRODUCTION	7
L	Specification of type of ankle device for which reclassification is requested. [860.123(a)(1)]	9
11.	Action Requested [860.123(a)(2)]	10
W.	The Basis for Disagreement with the Present Classification [860.123(a)(5)]	11
IV.	Statement of Reasons [860.123(a)(6)]	13
V.	SUPPORTING REFERENCES BIBLIOGRAPHY:	18
,	APPENDIX A: Figures	20
,	APPENIDIX B: Buechel Pappas Ankle Contact Stress Calculation	24
	APPENDIX C: COPIES OF REFERENCES	20

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTF	FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. OF				
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA SHEET	EXPIRATION DATE: January 1, 2 (See OMB Statement on Page 2)	2000			
GENERIC TYPE OF DEVICE Mobile Bearing Ankle Joint Replacement					
2. ADVISORY PANEL Orthopedic 21 CFR 888	3. IS DEVICE AN IMPLANT?				
4 INDICATIONS FOR USE PRESCRIBED, RECOMMENDED, OR SUGGESTED IN		ADVISORY			
PANEL					
The BUECHEL-PAPPAS™ Total Ankle Replacement is inter ankle joints resulting from osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, prosthesis. Viable malleoli, sufficient to provide medial-latera stability as well as additional m-p stability. This device is inte	traumatic arthritis, avascular necrosis, or previous stability, and viable ligaments to provide anterior	usly failed			
5 IDENTIFICATION OF ANY RISKS TO HEALTH PRESENTED BY DEVICE					
General Risks of any surgical procedure, and implantation	of artificial joint replacements.	1			
	· ,				
Specific Hazards to Health	Characteristics or Features of Device Associated with Hazard				
 a. Aseptic lossening of components b. Wear-derbis induced Osteolysis 	a. Constraint properties of the device b. Congruency of the articulating surfaces				
c. Sprains and Strains	c. Inversion-Eversion allowed by the device				
d. Infection	d				
6. RECOMMENDED ADVISORY PANEL CLASSIFICATION AND PRIORITY					
Classification Class II	Priority (Class II or III Only)				
7 IF DEVICE IS AN IMPLANT, OR IS LIFE-SUSTAINING OR LIFE-SUPPORTING A		S III EXPLAIN			
FULLY, THE REASONS FOR THE LOWER CLASSIFICATION WITH SUPPORTIN		, Du Dur			
See sections III and IV					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	-				
·	·				
SUMMARY OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING CLINICAL EXPERIENCE OR JUDG	MENT, UPON WHICH CLASSIFICATION RECOMMENDATION IS	BASED			
See the attached document with Appendices for this inform	nation.	** **********************			
		·····			
		······································			
9. IDENTIFICATION OF ANY NEEDED RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF THE DE	VICE				
See Form 3429, 11a. Fixation is a problem area where mo form of special control might be needed to monitor this situation.		re, some			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

FORM FDA 3427 (2/97)

10. IF DEVICE IS IN CLASS I, RECOMMEND WHETHER FDA SHOULD EXEMPT IT FROM					
Justification / Comments					
a. Registration / Device Listing					
b. Premarket Notification					
☐ c. Records and Reports					
d. Good Manufacturing Practice					
11 EXISTING STANDARDS APPLICABLE TO THE DEVICE, DEVICE SUBASSEMBLIES (Components) OR DEVICE MATERIALS (Parts a	and Accessories)				
ASTM F67-95, Standard Specifications for Unalloyed Titanium-6 Aluminum-4 Vanadium Boundard Form for Surgical Implants Applications ASTM F86-91, Standard Specification for Wrought Titanium-6 Aluminum-4 Vanadium ELI (Extra Low Interstitial) Alloy (R56401) for Surgical Implant Applications ASTM F136-98, Standard Specification for Wrought Titanium-6 Aluminum-4 Vanadium ELI (Extra Low Interstitial) Alloy (R56401) for Surgical Implant Applications ASTM F565-85(R1996), Standard Practice for Care and Handling of Orthopaedic Implants and Instruments ASTM F648-96, Standard Specification for Ultra-High-Molecular-Weight Polyethylene Powder and Fabricated Form for Surgical Implants ASTM F1044-95, Standard Test Method for Shear Testing of Porous Metal Coatings ASTM F1108-97, Standard Specification for Titanium-6 Aluminum-4 Vanadium Alloy Castings for Surgical Implants ASTM F1147-95, Standard Test Method for Tension Testing of Porous Metal Coatings ASTM F1160-91, Standard Test Method for Constant Stress Amplitude Fatigue Testing of Porous Metal-Coated Metallic Materials ASTM F1580-95, Standard Specification for Titanium and Titanium-6% Aluminum-4% Vanadium Alloy Powders for Coatings of Surgical Implants					
12. COMPLETE THIS FORM PURSUANT TO 21 CFR PART 860 AND SUBMIT TO					
Food and Drug Administration					
Center for Devices and Radiological Health					
Office of Health and Industry Programs (HFZ-215) 1350 Piccard Drive					
Rockville, MD 20850					
· ·					

OMB STATEMENT

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1-2 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to:

DHHS Reports Clearance Officer, Paperwork Reduction Project (0910-0138) Hubert H. Humphrey Building, Room 531-H 200 Independence Avenue, S.W Weshington, DC 20201

(Please DO NOT RETURN this form to this address.)

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to , a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATIO	N	FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 0910-0138	
GENERAL DEVICE CLASSIFICATION QUESTIONNA		EXPIRATION DATE: January 1, 2000 (See OMB Statement on Page 2)	
PANEL MEMBER / PETITIONER		DATE	
Endotec, Inc. South Orange, NJ 070	79	January 12, 2001	
GENERIC TYPE OF DEVICE	CLASSIFICATION REC	OMMENDATION	
Mobile Bearing Ankle Joint Replacement Class II			
IS THE DEVICE LIFE-SUSTAINING OR LIFE-SUPPORTING?	☐ YES 🗓	NO Go to Item 2	
2. IS THE DEVICE FOR A USE WHICH IS OF SUBSTANTIAL IMPORTANCE IN PREVENTING IMPAIRMENT OF HUMAN HEALTH?	▼ YES	NO Go to Item 3.	
3. DOES THE DEVICE PRESENT A POTENTIAL UNREASONABLE RISK OF ILLNESS OR INJURY?	YES X	NO Go to Item 4.	
4 DID YOU ANSWER "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE 3 QUESTIONS ?	X YES	NO If "Yes," go to item 7. If "No," go to item 5.	
5. IS THERE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO DETERMINE THAT GENERAL CONTROLS ARE SUFFICIENT TO PROVIDE REASONABLE ASSURANCE OF SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS ?	YES	NO If "Yes," Classify in Class I. If "No," go to Item 6.	
6. IS THERE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO ESTABLISH <u>SPECIAL CONTROLS</u> TO PROVIDE REASONABLE ASSURANCE OF SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS?	YES	NO If "Yes," go to Item 7. If "No," Classify in Class I.	
7 IS THERE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO ESTABLISH <u>SPECIAL CONTROLS</u> TO PROVIDE REASONABLE ASSURANCE OF SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS? IF YES, CHECK THE SPECIAL CONTROL(S) NEEDED TO PROVIDE SUCH REASONABLE ASSURANCE. FOR CLASS II.	X YES	NO If "Yes," Classify in Class II If "No," Classify in Class III	
X Postmarket Surveillance X Performance Standard(s) Patient Registries, Device Tracking Testing Guidelines Other (specify)			
8. IF A REGULATORY PERFORMANCE STANDARD IS NEEDED TO PROVIDE			
REASONABLE ASSURANCE OF THE SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF A CLASS II OR III DEVICE, IDENTIFY THE PRIORITY FOR ESTABLISHING SUCH A STANDARD	s		
Low Priority			
X Medium Priority		}	
High Priority Not Applicable			
9. FOR A DEVICE RECOMMENDED FOR RECLASSIFICATION INTO CLASS II	=		
SHOULD THE RECOMMENDED REGULATORY PERFORMANCE STANDARD BE I PLACE BEFORE THE RECLASSIFICATION TAKES EFFECT?	N YES X N		
10. FOR A DEVICE RECOMMENDED FOR CLASSIFICATION / RECLASSIFICATION IN CLASS III, IDENTIFY THE PRIORITY FOR REQUIRING PREMARKET APPROVAL APPLICATION (PMA) SUBMISSIONS. Low Priority Medium Priority High Priority Not Applicable			

FORM FDA 3429 (2/97)

11a	CAN THERE OTHERWISE BE REASONABLE ASSURANCE OF ITS SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS WITHOUT RESTRICTIONS ON ITS SALE, DISTRIBUTION OR USE, BECAUSE OF ANY POTENTIALITY FOR HARMFUL EFFECT OR THE COLLATERAL MEASURES NECESSARY FOR THE DEVICE'S USE?	X YES	□ NO	If "Yes," go to Item 12. If "No,", go to Item 11b.
11b.	IDENTIFY THE NEEDED RESTRICTION(S) (If Item 11a. was checked "NO ")			
	Only upon the written or oral authorization of a practitioner licensed by law to administer or use the device			
İ	Use only by persons with specific training or experience in its use			
ŀ	Use only in certain facilities			1
1	Other (Specify)			
•				1
L				
12	COMPLETE THIS FORM PURSUANT TO 21 CFR PART 860 AND SUBMIT TO.			
	Food and Drug Administration			
1	Center for Devices and Radiological He	alth		
	Office of Health and Industry Programs	(HFZ-215	5)	
	1350 Piccard Drive			
	Rockville, MD 20850			

OMB STATEMENT

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1-2 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to:

DHHS Reports Clearance Officer, Paperwork Reduction Project (0910-0138) Hubert H Humphrey Building, Room 531-H 200 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, DC 20201

(Please DO NOT RETURN this form to this address.)

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to , a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.